

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | CASE NO. 1:13 CR 235 |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | <u>OPINION AND ORDER</u> |
| |) | |
| JAMIL HARDY, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

On November 2, 2020, Defendant Jamil Hardy filed a Motion for Compassionate Release per the First Step Act of 2018. **Doc #: 49.** For the following reason, the motion is *sua sponte* dismissed without prejudice.

On August 1, 2013, Jamil Hardy pled guilty to one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). **Doc #: 22.** On October 31, 2013, the Court sentenced Hardy to 110 months incarceration, followed by 3 years supervised release. **Doc #: 27.** Hardy, who resides at RRM Cincinnati, has an expected release date of March 19, 2021.

The statute authorizing compassionate release, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1), contains an exhaustion requirement. The exhaustion requirement mandates that a criminal defendant first ask the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) to bring a motion for compassionate release on his behalf. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1). Thereafter, a defendant may file a motion for compassionate release in the district court only after:

the defendant has fully exhausted all administrative rights to appeal a failure of the [BOP] to bring a motion on the defendant's behalf or the lapse of 30 days

from the receipt of such a request by the warden of the defendant's facility, whichever is earlier . . .

18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). This requirement is a “mandatory claim-processing rule” which must be enforced when properly invoked. *United States v. Alam*, — F.3d —, 2020 WL 2845694, at *5 (6th Cir. Jun. 2, 2020). The rule is properly invoked when the government timely raises it, but courts may enforce the exhaustion requirement *sua sponte*. See *United States v. Gayton-Garza*, 652 F.3d 680, 681 (6th Cir. 2011); *United States v. Jones*, No. 1:16 CR 344, Doc #: 64 at 2 (N.D. Ohio Jun. 9, 2020) (Polster, J.). The pending Motion is silent as to whether Hardy has satisfied the exhaustion requirement. Accordingly, the Motion for Compassionate Release, **Doc #: 49**, is *sua sponte* dismissed without prejudice.

Hardy may file a motion for compassionate release once he has presented his request to the warden of his facility and either (1) he has exhausted all administrative rights to appeal the failure of the BOP to bring a motion on his behalf, or (2) 30 days pass from the day the warden received his request. This ruling acknowledges that the BOP is in the best position to know its inmates' medical conditions, the condition of its prisons, and the remedial actions taken. If the BOP's review is unsatisfactory, the longest a defendant has to wait before filing his motion in the district court is 30 days after the warden receives it.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Dan A. Polster November 2, 2020
Dan Aaron Polster
United States District Judge